From Jason Beske to Everyone:

Can you give me permission to unmute

From Alex Vanegas to Everyone:

Done

From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Alex, I see that you're talking but you're muted.

From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

Do the members of planning commission believe there is a climate crisis?

From Carmen Todd to Everyone:

Does the Board of Supervisors have a plan in the event that the data centers become obsolete?

From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

How is the loss of the Planning Director impacting the process moving forward?

From desi arnaiz to Everyone:

have any of you been inside a Data Center? What do you know about Data Centers?

From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Is this meeting being recorded? Can it be?

From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

Does the Planning Commission recognize it's responsibility to not to add the climate crisis?

From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Where is the team that is studying the environmental impacts outside the overlay district and in the watershed?

Who is the expert on available energy infrastructure?

From Bob Rogers to Everyone:

Can we get a copyt of the presentation?

From Robert Weir to Everyone:

That tension was created by Economic Development entering into a secret agreement with Amazon after a junket to Seattle with Chairman Stewart

From peter martin to Everyone:

Are the data centers being considered with all requirements they need. not just money into county.

## From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

What is the status of the water study? How is this review taking the lack of a water study into it's recommendation

## From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

How can the Pageland corridor be considered for data center development outside this process?

#### From Pamela Goddard to Everyone:

Will this presentation and the slide deck be available to participants post-event? Thank you.

#### From Rhonda Reese to Everyone:

What percentage of the overlay district has been used?

## From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

I am totally committed to the first boundaries the Board agreed was the Data Center areas. Since this agreement, the entire plan has been changed. It's ludicrous to take land from the Forest Park and to estrogen the vistas on the Manassas Battlefield. All these changes this guy is presenting are for the developers, not the residents in neighborhoods that will be hosed up.

## From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Are you including in your analysis 115 kv lines as appropriate energy infrastructure for data centers campus's?

## From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

Destroy not estrogen

#### From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

What are the sustainability guidelines? How has the climate crisis been incorporated into this study,

## From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

So, your scope of work did NOT include information gathering on environmental and tourism-related impacts?

# From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Who are the stakholders?

# From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Community engagement requires that the community be provided the opportunity for direct input and questions which is not the case tonight.

# From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Specifically, what "types" of folks were involved in the "stakeholder interviews"?

#### From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Specifically, what "types" of folks were involved in the "stakeholder interviews"?

#### From Jeffrey Young to Everyone:

How can we get added to stakeholder list?

## From Carmen Todd to Everyone:

I only see economic factors, where are the environmental factors?

## From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

All these actions are too late. You have already made promises to Union and developers!

## From mark thomas to Everyone:

you will be taking questions tonight verbally won't you?

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Community homeowners are stakeholders

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

Recycled water that meets cooling standards is likely also needed, will that infrastructure (treatment and pipes) be included in that evaluation?

#### From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

Why isn't the 3,500 resident community of Heritage Hunt, located next to Conway Robinson forest and across from the Battlefield included as a stakeholder in this process?

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

So you are going to transition with no public input outside the one hour stakeholder sessions. That is not proper planning and certainly is not community engagement.

## From Doug to Everyone:

Zoning, overlays etc should not change. There's a reason they were put in place. Agree with above taking acreage from a forest or agricultural zoning area for data centers is unbelievably irresponsible. There's no turning back. We need slow growth and to protect our green space; water, existing infrastructure and way of life...

### From Lynn Horton to Everyone:

Will "THE BOARD" see our comments?

### From Rhonda Reese to Everyone:

Why is it okay to have concentrated data centers located in Gainesville so close to each other? On John Marshall Highway, Catharpin, Gainesville Gateway.

# From Bob Rogers to Everyone:

Need a better map!

## From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

There have been multiple data centers approved outside the overlay, how are those numbers incorporated into your overall analysis? AND how many millions of sq feet have been approved and what is the impact on the available energy infrastructure, i.e. 230kv transmission lines and substations?

### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Economic Development asserted that only 80-800 acres were available for data center development in the Overlay. Two weeks ago, you, Alex, asserted there were still 10,000 acres and a five year supply in existence. We have it on tape.

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

This is not technically engagement as the conversation is only one direction.

#### From James Seyster to Everyone:

Stakeholder engagement is essential and should embrace especially those in or adjacent to the affected areas. How will PWC contact and involve those stakeholders?

#### From Kim Hosen to Everyone:

Will the 2,000 acre "gateway" proposal be reviewed as part of the overlay district?

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Parcel "occupied or not available for sale" exactly what does this mean? Does this mean it is owned by JK Moving?

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

How and how often does Economic Development evaluate its special, "aggressive" tax preferences for data centers? https://www.pwcded.org/incentives

#### From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

These maps are impossible to se or decifer. Is that purposeful?

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Is it Economic Developments job to put its thumb on the market forces in place as new "prospect" don't want to pay the price for land that people have invested in within the overlay.

#### From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

Why are projects such as along Pageland Lane being considered while the study is underway as the 166 acres under consideration is in the rural crescent?

## From mark thomas to Everyone:

how many sq ft. of data centers are already built, approved in existing overlay and by right under M zoning?

### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Why are existing communities being considered to change zoning from housing to Industry?

## From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Ms. Wynn, why is Economic Development doing an analysis that it is not qualified to do and delivering a flawed analysis to the BOCS that in part resulted in initiation of this process.

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

Does a data center sort of roll up the land use nearby as who wants to live or work next to what looks like an abandoned big box store...that is in jail

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

We know that data centers are reluctant to purchase land owned by speculator developers in the overlay as they are going for over one million an acre. How much land does this category include?

#### From desi arnaiz to Everyone:

please remember Dat Centers genrate enourmous heat! Not to mention suck megawatts of power

#### From Doug to Everyone:

Rural crescent should be left alone. It's why people myself included live here. Would like response to question above about the status of the water study (and also why centers were approved before other environmental studies were completed).

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Why do you feel you need to court data centers?

#### From Lynn Horton to Everyone:

Hi Page and Mary Ann

## From desi arnaiz to Everyone:

Who say we need

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Does Economic Development provide similar services to potential asphalt plants, toxic waste dumps, etc. or is it just data centers.

## From Anand Desai to Everyone:

it can be like wirj

## From Carolyn Lightner to Everyone:

This meeting was scheduled too early. If PWC truly wanted citizen input it should have been scheduled later. Many residents work and don't get home until 7:00.

# From desi arnaiz to Everyone:

Who says we need Data Centers?

#### From Doug to Everyone:

Re Anand, nobody wants to live next to a data center. Who would?

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

There is a difference between data centers and data center developers, apparently that is lost on Economic Development.

#### From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

Can you please get truthful legible maps? Where's a map with the data center overlay before this last attack on the Rural Crescent?

#### From Renee Vaughn to Everyone:

PWC citizens have overwhelmingly said no to the change in zoning for many ,many reasons including green space, water usage, power demands and increased traffic So PLEASE send the companies to other countries. We do not want or need them here. How about having the stakeholders vote on this issue instead of the BOCS??

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Why are you not addressing the millions in transmission line and substation infrastructure citizens pay for when you put these data centers outside the overlay??

#### From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Well... if a data center developer already owns the land... that land should be considered as being used for its intended purpose and counted among available land for data centers.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Like the flood zone sold to I Cloud along 234, where the dirt is now higher than the tree tops which are at the edge of the stream, impacting the water sludge into the Occoquan upstream from the damn?

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

I don't believe the chat is open yet, and I find this slightly distracting from this presentation.

#### From Uiala Yousaf to Everyone:

Are there environmental plans built in to mitigate the heat island impacts caused by these data centers, as these will be huge buildings?

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

Data Centers do not employ a significant amount of people, 60-80 most. This is for the monies being given back to the county versus PWC residents.

### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Again if Economic Development believes the number so low, why is planning asserting there are 10,000 acres available.

Helping or hindering

### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

how much are we budgeting to incentivise reclaiming data center brownfields in 10 years?

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Economic development to what end? Who is it supposed to be serving? Community? Or the Data Centers?

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

90 to 900 acres is your best number?? Parag told us n a stakeholder meeting we had enough land in the overlay to meet demand for the next fiver years!

## From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

Why is the study focused on the data center industry rather than communities that could be negatively affected by intensive building of four story data centers?

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

where is your "emerging trends" for community sustainability?

#### From Kimberley Davis to Everyone:

Is there a potential national security risk to clustering so many data centers in one county? And is there a security risk to us as a county?

### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

Shame on PWC for doing this alterations to county plans while everyone was paying attention to COVID problems not the paper notices on the side of the side.

#### From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

How can you have a market study that does not consider the Climate Crisis

## From Sharon Harvey to Everyone:

The County is out of sync with what citizens want. One of my neighbors cares about building the data center industry with our tax dollars

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Let data centers grow somewhere else. Why do we need them in our backyard?

#### From Carolyn Lightner to Everyone:

Selling out our quality of life for data centers

## From Anand Desai to Everyone:

high time to at least reconsider the tax giveaways

## From Daniel Wheeler to Everyone:

Will BOCs see these comments? (Not that most of them care...)

# From peter martin to Everyone:

yesterday BOC meeting had avery different map of where CAN be a data center. Some of these are premium of where home or retail would make sense.

# From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

excuse me, but sq ft informs us of megawatt need.

#### From peter martin to Everyone:

I still want resources to be considered, powere substattios, transmission lines, and water is a real thing.

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

Is Prince William planning to start requiring renewable energy collocated on site (e.g. solar over parking lots/buildings)? Converting ag and forestland to utility scale solar is not efficient/sustainable.

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

This is all about monies for the county...not our quality of life. The data center approved off of Linton Hall is giving the country 26.2M.

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Why is Virginia willing to sacrifice our sustainability as a community to ONE industry?

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

Data centers will level the playing field in PWC and can potentially give a good quality of life to all people in PWC.

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

NV has large share because of cheap power, tax give aways and community gets steam rolled

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

These slides are totally unhelpful to the community. What we care about is the quality of our community.

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

What you are planning will have NO access to existing power

## From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

This presentation appears to build a case for why we need data centers. I haven't heard anything about the rural crescent and the quality of life of residents. I know of no community that wants data centers in their backyards. Why isn't the focus in areas where industrial development has taken place and infrastructure support is available?

#### From Renee Vaughn to Everyone:

I am hoping that SOMEONE WILL LOOK AT STAKEHOLDERS/CITIZENS CONCERNS!!!! THIS IS NOT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. This is BOCS railroading citizens for profit(?). Certainly NOT in best interests PWC citizens.

### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Loudon had a 600 million dollar shortfall last year1

### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

if they would pay their taxes maybe our kids schools would not have learning cottages that look like little data centers

### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Agreed. Put data centers in areas where they fit. Not on Pageland

# From Tammy Spinks to Everyone:

Smoke and mirrors - nothing more. Filling up air space so they don't actually have to take our questions and answer them in real time. If questions or comments are answered, they will be cherry picked for sure.

# From John Leone to Everyone:

AECOM was instrumental in working on the Maryland progrm

## From Michael Janay to Everyone:

doesn't North America include Canada? They have room and resources for data centersw

## From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

Almost every person living on Pageland is interested in providing their land for data centers. It makes sense to consolidate to that area if everyone is on board. It won't be in anyone's backyard and the only people that will see it will have to drive down Pageland and in that case, they will be commuting.

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Stop the data center marketing pitch. None of this shows any benefit to community.

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

This includes Candland, btw

## From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

The rural crescent will be destroyed ... we are already paying for the reconstruction of Woodbridge

### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

Definitely in the best interest of ALL citizens of PWC. Expand the Data Center Overlays.

#### From Patricia Leone to Everyone:

Will Data Centers ruin the rural character of the Rural Crescent even more than 10-acre McMansions would? Unlike the first wave of data centers, today's Data Center developers are more aware of their impact on their surroundings and make every effort not to be "in your face." By working closely with county planners they mitigate their effects on the environment in several ways, such as maintaining buffer areas and limiting their height to two-stories so that neighboring residential areas and parks don't have to view their buildings; providing open public areas, such as dog-walking parks; and most importantly, employing state of the art energy saving technologies.

## From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

wners on Pageland, at least come, will get a cash pa

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Where is the energy and water consumption in this "economic analysis"

## From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

the data center salaries are wrong....too high

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

I have heard the specialized employees travel and most jobs are security and housekeeping.

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

The Rural crescent was destroyed when the Manassas National park allowed huge power lines down Pageland Lane.

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

they look atrocious and there is a huge mountain of dirt by one by Parkway and Clover Hill. if someone wants to make an inconspicuous one, no prob

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

Agreed

#### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Try Again. Homeowners on Pageland, at least some, will get cash. Those unwilling to sell their land with have lousy neighbors

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Where is the direct impact of transmission line routing and new substations?

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

The buildings being considered are also 2 level or less to eliminate viewshed concerns and can be designed in such a way that they integrate into the landscape..

#### From Rhonda Reese to Everyone:

My definition of quality of life is not to be constantly surrounded by data centers. If the current plans for data centers continue outside the current overlay district I will daily see 5 data centers within 1 to 4 miles from my house. Tall concrete warehouse-like structures

# From Anand Desai to Everyone:

indirect impact of making arond our houses ugly and not desirable to visit?

# From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

What is the period of time for the projected economic impact? It's clearly short-term, but how long, specifically?

#### From Doug to Everyone:

Agree with Jean. Anyhow, advances in technology will continue to increase rapidly. Why not wait until studies are complete. Years from now maybe the footprint and environmental impact will be less. If these huge eyesores are built now, what happens when only a 1/4 of the space is needed and different methods are later used? Are we stuck with concrete faceless buildings that can't be used for anything other than annoying the constituents? What happens to our water, utilities, roads as a consequence of moving forward now? That land will be taken away forever from other uses...what are the developers saying they will perpetually support (not one time) to mitigate all the negative impact they will create hereafter...

#### From Bob Rogers to Everyone:

Temporary jobs. Only 28 per data center. Not good.

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

Good point about the 10 acre Mac Mansions.

#### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

The DC's, even if they are lower profile, will still negatively impact the watershed and climate

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

10 acre McMansions will clear appx. 1-2 acres of land..not 800+ acres..

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

The height of a data center "floor" is several times that of normal construction.

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

THe county was the one that approved the McMansions on 29 and destroyed it

#### From Michael Janay to Everyone:

WHERE AND WHEN IS THE WATER STUDY?

## From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

28 is close to it also

#### From Carmen Todd to Everyone:

Has the economic impact study include how the construction of data centers impact the property values of housing?

### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

The pageland data center proposal forecasts 21 MILLION sq feet of impervious cover. The rural crescent is our wat4ershed and keeping at restricted development will preserve the quality of our reservoir.

## From Karen Sheehan to Everyone:

This review ignores what the majority of this county's citizens want which is a good quality of life. Everything being outlined is putting blinders on, county planning and leadership being lazy, taking money from hungry industry, ignoring long term impacts and unconscious to sustainability for our lives.

# From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Where is the Environmental Impact Study?

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

this "multiplier" argument is standard and not good economics.

#### From Nicholas Ewing to Everyone:

I am heavily in support of the data centers along the Pageland corridor. The infrastructure is already in place, and its clear what the land owners want. Im confident on the environmental impact study, as most modern data centers have closed water systems anyways.

#### From Laurie Snyder to Everyone:

The economic impact of allowing the data center expansion clearly will be huge. We need the jobs and revenue. I'm for expansion

## From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

Loudoun only has about 3,000 acres of data centers... With thousands of additional acres beyond the 8700 current in the overlay, how does Prince William plant to catch up and surpass Loudoun County (the highest concentration of data center in the world I believe)?

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

No on is against data center development in the right places. What PWC is promoting is data center development everywhere.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Loudoun County protects their rural areas and watershed.

#### From Nancy Armour to Everyone:

Very few workers in the data center. Usually the companies send their higher paid workers to the site if they can't do the job they need to do on the equipment t remotely.

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

WHERE IS THE EIS for DATA Center Development in the rural crescent?

#### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

The infrastructure to Pageland Lane is NOT in place. Investments will be needed for water, sewer, traffic control, and cash will be taken away from other good projects. Also, the transmission lines are full now!

### From David Peterson to Everyone:

Is this study going to examine decommissioning costs and impacts once a data center is retired?

### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Why is climate change not a critical aspect of your analysis?

### From Nancy Armour to Everyone:

The term she is using 'nap' is what I was referring to.

# From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

Infrastructure costs will be paid by the developer not the citizens or the county

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

In your dreams, we are all paying for Amazon's power.

#### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Decommissioning costs should be included. The land will be ruined, even if the buildings are removed.

## From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

But the infrastructure will suffer.

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

The Digital Gateway proposal is actually Tech Flex which includes warehousing, distribution, and light industrial... is this what will be the back up for all this land that won't be developed as datacenters?

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

PWC is going to destroy the quality of life for people who live in the Gainesville District.

#### From Bob Rogers to Everyone:

How does this benefit the community? The individual homeowner doesn't want these monolithic, treeless sites in their neighborhood.

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone

People in the rural crescent didn't want to live in FFX County where they build anything with a blank space of land

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Fredrick county-reutilizing old industrial sites is perfect. Destruction of land next to National Parks and in the Watershed is not compatible with the reasons people move to the rural area of PWC.

## From Anand Desai to Everyone:

@ Lori agree 100%

#### From David Peterson to Everyone:

What is the useful lifespan of a data center? Once a data center becomes technologically obsolete, what becomes of it?

### From Lynn Horton to Everyone:

How do we fight this and get all these opinions heard????

## From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

Good ?

### From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

this shouldn't be focused on data center industry demand and real estate sales but rather the quality of life of residents in PWC; that is why we live here1

# From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Lifespan they say is 15 years or 20 years, but who knows as fast as technology changes

### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

People will make millions instead of thousands off their land while leaving the rest of us living with the industrial consequences.

# From K. Jack Kooyoomjian to Everyone:

We clearly need a vision for a balanced and sustainable vision for PWC so that Quality-of-Life for its' residents as well as businesses are not overwhelmed by single-use economic development such as data centers.

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

One day is not a lot of time

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

Piedmont Environmental Council is on the list but was not reached out to

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

come to citizens time. by zoom works great! anyone wants to practice talking points dont feel obligated but feel free to reach out

#### From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

To Julie's point, I haven't heard that any of the organizations involved in the coalition trying to save the rural crescent were contacted either.

#### From Elizabeth Ward to Everyone:

You most include Fairfax Water as a stakeholder.

#### From Nicholas Ewing to Everyone:

The economic benefits of a data center extend far beyond just jobs at the data center itself. This is tax money for infrastructure, public services, etc.

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

You said you would put your 10,00 acre/5 year supply in the notes for our group but you did not.

#### From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

As someone who has property bordering these lots I would like to be included as a stakeholder 🕲 , what a joke of a list, seems incredibly biased

#### From Daniel Wheeler to Everyone:

Do they not get enough of our tax money already not to mention the \$91 million they just took from the federal government? They can't be trusted with money if they raise taxes every year and we still clearly aren't getting what we pay for

### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

infrastructure to commute further past unsightly data centers and not enough taxes to eliminate school trailers. DO NOT WANT

### From James Seyster to Everyone:

how is quality of life for us and fellow residents quantified and sourced?

### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

The tax revenue is a Ponzi Scheme, if you don't continue to build data centers at a rapid rate the steep depreciation schedule catches up to you as Loudoun found out this year as they had to backfill a multi-million dollar hole created by that circumstance.

# From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

It is a lie to call these stakeholders. These are business interests. Stakeholders must include the people who live here. When will Marilyn Karp be in a stakeholder meeting

#### From Dan Scott to Everyone:

How much in tax abatements have already been granted to data centers, and what is the policy going forward on tax abatements? I'm concerned about the lack of transparency in to the terms that the County agrees to. PWC citizens should not have to subsidize the large IT companies to build and operate data centers. We might have to look at them, but that doesn't mean that we should also have to pay for them or subsidize them through higher electric rates or property taxes, nor should we be providing reductions in their taxes.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Ag Businesses next to Data Centers are not compatible.

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Those tax incentives are protected by NDAs and County FOIA exemptions. Ask those who were involved in the Amazon fight.

#### From David Peterson to Everyone:

Is this work group going to do any sort of life cycle assessment of the economics behind data centers in the Rural Crescent? How reliable are these financial projections? What is the to confidence intervals around these financial projections?

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

the stakeholder analogy makes sense if you the typical resident is the vampire :)

#### From Nancy Vehrs to Everyone:

Prince William Forest Park is a NATIONAL Park, not a county park.

#### From Patricia Leone to Everyone:

If they don't sell to data centers, farmers along Pageland Lane, who have held onto their land, maintaining open space for generations, who are aging and can no longer afford to farm their land, will have to sell out to 10-acre executive McMansions. The county will lose the chance for an enormous windfall for our schools, our county services, and our residential tax burden, and also high-paying construction jobs in the initial phases, and technical jobs for years to come.

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

please quantify enormous

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Note that Dominion Power wisely did not attend that session so their fingerprints were not on this mess.

# From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Industry is only motivated by \$\$\$\$. Makes their money with no concern for the community that is not imposed on them.

### From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

How can you ensure that those who live adjacent to these lots who are on well can maintain proper water sourcing once all the concrete and asphalt is built?

## From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

PWC has a program to help with farmers sustaining their land use

#### From Rhonda Reese to Everyone

I feel quality of life is given lip service and that the wishes of the citizens are largely being ignored in favor of data centers. Our concerns are treated as an after-thought. I have witnessed that the Planning Commission is pretty chummy with the Data Center Developers when they try to rezone areas and when then want approval for their projects.

## From Mark Silverman to Everyone:

Route 66 expansion took out beautiful forest and put in concrete parking lot by 66 and route 29- now the data center industry wants to build multiple four story centers along pageland lane. the rural crescent should be appreciated not destroyed.

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

Agree

#### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

With all the forests removed, all the carbon absorbed went away too.

#### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Good!

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone:

They destroyed a lot 15 years ago with the building boom.

#### From John Crown to Everyone:

Most folks keep cite environmental impacts. Does anyone know where climate and impact models actually are modelled and built requiring petabytes of centralized data needed to form a valid analysis? A data center. Just think about that. NOAA weather models, climate impact studies, global modelling? data centers.

#### From Patricia Leone to Everyone:

They are two-stories, not four.

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

PWC has no authority over the utility approval process, particularly electricity. That is the SCC's purview and theirs alone.

### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

Let's not forget about the major road that will be built down the Pageland corridor in the coming years

# From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

Two stories or four still has the same climate impacts - all bad

## From Anand Desai to Everyone:

how many feet total height is this "2 stories" that keeps coming up? i heard the county changed its rules to allow like 70 ft.

### From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

I heard the same - 70'

## From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

I believe in sustainable quality communities. I believe our county is unique and we should not be eager to turn into data center alley. Industrializing our watershed is the opposite direction we should be headed in this day and age. Prince William County is a special place to live, from the Potomac tidal basin to the bull run mountains. Our National Park deserve our protection and respect!

Please go to www.growsmartpw.org

Together we CAN make a difference.

#### From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

McMansions on 10 acre lots would allow for individuals to establish their own homesteads, gardens and maintain backyard cattle and livestock - all of which have a much more beneficial impact on the environment

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

and would also require more roads and more schools - we don't need any more houses

#### From Kyle Hart to Everyone:

Add the link to the chat

#### From Ashley Studholme to Everyone:

forms.office.com/g/tDNznjPg6t

#### From Karen Sheehan to Everyone:

Data centers are NOT the panacea that these folks are trying to represent - not for the farmers, not for their neighbors, not for our children. Technology will be different very quickly, probably 10 years data capture will look very very different. And PWC, if it goes the way being represented, will be decimated with no rural assets and lots of empty buildings.

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

the 10 acre arch deluxes do pay their way :)

## From Patricia Leone to Everyone:

The major road is coming regardless of whether or not data centers come. There's already a right-of-way for it that was announced in a recent housing project ribbon-cutting.

# From Alexander Stanley (Planning Host) to Everyone:

https://forms.office.com/g/tDNznjPg6t

# From James Seyster to Everyone:

Can the weblink be emailed to the 151 participants on line tonite?

## From Robert Weir to Everyone:

Why were some stakeholder groups not summarized, must be second class citizens.

#### From K. Jack Kooyoomjian to Everyone:

Need to have a goal to provide buffer zones for the National Park Boundaries with transitional uses that are respectful of the Park Mission. Data Centers demand substantial energy grid and water infrastructure. Such as energy intense use located adjacent to Congressionally identified Park Boundaries appear to be not respectful of protecting National Park boundaries and challenge that goal.

From Karen Sheehan to Everyone:

Where is the link to this survey?

From Alexander Stanley (Planning Host) to Everyone:

https://forms.office.com/g/tDNznjPg6t

From Doug Kissick to Everyone:

I'm not seeing where I can select my answers

From Alexander Stanley (Planning Host) to Everyone:

https://forms.office.com/g/tDNznjPg6t

From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

When will these results be shared with the community?

From Robert Weir to Everyone:

It's not a meeting its a presentation with no public input or questions.

From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

Thank you for this presentation and considering expanding the overlay. It is the right thing to do for all citizens in PWC

From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Why does it say Legislative Approval..where's Denial?

From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

stop this madness, please visit www.growsmartpw.org

From Anand Desai to Everyone:

on policy and from the economist, I want to know how are the tax breaks being calibrated.

From William Mackes to Everyone:

Approve the expansion of Data Centers to include Pageland!

From Roger Yackel to Everyone:

This is NOT the right thing to do for PWC residents

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

a little more info... https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/ee22d95cc38642e8a2571897afb75a28

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

I've listened to several forums where citizens have expressed the need to have more jobs within our county. This can provide union jobs and jobs that earn a living wage. Everyone in this county should have the right to live a decent life. The rural crescent should be utilized more productively for the good of the whole county.

#### From Jean Ellis to Everyone

You missed the employment...only 28 peopel

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

This will provide construction jobs for many, many years

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

looks like a great website elena!

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

the lack of ongoing employment is the bonus as this will not add even more traffic!

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

More jobs are not enough. We need to provide more good jobs with higher levels of pay to keep this area vibrant and diverse. Many people will be involved in the building of the data centers.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

 $Heard\ the\ recent\ construction\ jobs\ have\ companies\ from\ Maryland\ doing\ the\ building..adding\ more\ cars\ and\ pollution\ to\ our\ roads.$ 

#### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

I strongly support expanding the data center overlay corridors because of the enormous economic benefit for all of PWC residents. It will provide a huge windfall for our schools, our county services, and our residential tax burden.

#### From Marilyn Karp to Everyone:

Put you questions in an email and send it here datacenteroverlay@pwcgov.org also send the email to the BOCS at bocs@pwcgov.org

### From Tammy Spinks to Everyone

it is utilized by the entire county by filtering the ground and surface water into the Occoquan River that then serves as the source of public water for over 2 million people in the NOVA region daily. WE NEED TO PROTECT THE WATERSHED AND THE RIVER. WATER IS LIFE

# From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

Data Center are not significant source of lasting employment especially considering their detrimental impact. Not worth 28 jobs.

### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

yup, like installing nodding donkeys

## From Rhonda Reese to Everyone:

The assumption is being made that these jobs will be filled solely by Prince William County residents. Not so.

#### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

Expanding the data center overall corridor will provide thousands of good paying jobs for years within the county

#### From Robert Weir to Everyone:

What about the 10,000 acres Alex, Parag went away but your taped comments are forever.

#### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

If the power lines are already there and the landowners are willing, why wouldn't we jump at the chance to take advantage of this financial benefits to the county? To not do this would be like winning the lottery and not claiming the winnings.

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

Yes, Julie, I agree.

#### From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

**EXACTLY JULIE** 

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Task 4 should be after all studies are completed and information and data is known..approval or denial-let the RC residents vote on it.

#### From Michael Janay to Everyone:

This is the usual short term vision that will not sustain our county for the furu

#### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

I support more data centers, especially along the Pageland Corridor where we already have all the power and fiber in place. The people who own these properties are willing to create a data center corridor that will provide jobs and huge financial benefits for the county and our residents

#### From Carolyn Lightner to Everyone:

Data centers only provide a lot of jobs during construction.

### From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

BECAUSE WE LIVE HERE JULIE

# From Melanie Williams to Everyone:

Including Candland and his whole neighborhood - just saying

### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

Expanding the data center overlay corridor just makes sense, especially where we have the transmission lines, connectivity, and sewer and water. What we have in front of us is a huge group of landowners along the Pageland Corridor who are in favor of this, and the financial benefit to the county is mind-boggling.

#### From David Peterson to Everyone:

What is meant by "Legislative Approval"?

#### From Rhonda Reese to Everyone:

Powerlines are committed to Loudoun County, not Prince William County

#### From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

I live here too

#### From Vida Carroll to Everyone:

it's not all about money. There are appropriate places within the county for this and the RC is not it

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

Viva Vida!

## From Julie Brumley to Everyone:

There isn't any land remaining for data center development in the Data Center Corridor in Western PWC. If there was desirable and useable land available, why would the BOCS continued to approve data centers outside the overlay - five in 2019 alone to include he 3M sqft Gainesville Crossing next to the Manassas National Battlefield and Robinson State Park.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Legislative approval means it's a pre-determined outcome..

# From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Alex, this wasn't participation. Really?

## From Laurie Snyder to Everyone:

The County cannot cling to the past by preserving outdated land use policies. We need to thrive and create opportunity for all as our population grows expanding the data center overlay district is a huge opportunity.

#### From John Leone to Everyone:

If anyone would like to contact AECOM for our Data Center Mission Critical & High-Tech. team Please feel free to reach out to me.

### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

Good job, Stanley.

## From Cindy Smith to Everyone:

How can you have legislative approval in spring if environmental guidelines are not yet developed?

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

channel our enthusiasm for letters to the editor and citizens time, everyone

## From James Seyster to Everyone:

Thanks for the work you PWC folks did here. Please keep residents (your employers and prime stakeholders) heavily engaged throughout the coming phases.

# From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

Wow, is this it?

## From Patricia Leone to Everyone:

Yep!

## From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Rebranding PWC- come to my wedding venue, so you can view the Data Center next door.

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

and think as you vote

# From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

There is land in the overlay.

## From John Crown to Everyone:

Microsoft data centers use waterless technology from a partnership with 3M. Can PWC include this requirement is the sustainability plan?

#### From Steven Lorentz to Everyone:

Solid presentation. Good job staff.

#### From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

Yep, Loudoun didn't have to open up their rural lands... and we're still building

# From Carolyn Lightner to Everyone:

This meeting was proof the BOCS doesn't care about what residents think.

# From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Loudoun protects their Rural land..

# From Karen Sheehan to Everyone:

PWC doesn't protect

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

thanks to county staff for this meeting, but the data center plan is a terrible deal.

#### From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Data centers are a modern necessity and CAN be good for the county, BUT... above all else, they MUST be sited properly so as not to irreparably destroy the character, historic and cultural resources, natural resources (open spaces, forested lands, water quality, wildlife habitat, rare plant and animal species, water supply, energy usage/sustainability/climate goals, etc), rural economies, and beauty of Prince William County. I am confounded that our democratic supervisors are so interested in ripping out the environmental benefits of forested lands and open spaces to for an industry that will consume so much energy and water and that will contribute so heavily to water quality degradation.

#### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

30% those that will profit or are from the industry.

#### From Sally Chandler to Everyone:

I've listened to several forums where citizens have expressed the need to have more jobs within our county. This can provide union jobs and jobs that earn a living wage. Everyone in this county should have the right to live a decent life. The rural crescent should be utilized more productively for the good of the whole county.

### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

That is not an even split, there is more opposition.

#### From Doug Kissick to Everyone:

Agreed

#### From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

That question of mitigation is misleading. There should have been a response for none!

#### From Anand Desai to Everyone:

this provides a small amount of job for permanently making parts of our county economically minmally viable. a little like moutaintop removal mining. not cool.

#### From Kyle McMillan to Everyone:

Will this recording be available online

### From Robert Stanton to Everyone:

It would be interesting to see the residency associated with the pro/con data center responses

## From Julie Bolthouse to Everyone:

I don't believe it was recorded... no announcement at the beginning at least...

# From Doug Kissick to Everyone:

Should only have been open to residents. Hope that was the case

# From Cindy Sabato to Everyone:

Resharing an earlier link from Julie Bolthouse: https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/ee22d95cc38642e8a2571897afb75a28

### From Lori Fenn to Everyone:

Is the Water study complete? Has there been a Federal Environmental Industrial Impact Study requested?

# From elena schlossberg to Everyone:

great point Host about the importance of WHERE the data centers go and their impacts on the environment. I hope you engage more and reach out to like minded folks. My email is elenalouise@hotmail.com

### From Jack Seeley to Everyone:

I suspect many of the "cons" are landowners who do not have property in or adjacent to the overlay area.

### From Karen Sheehan to Everyone:

The last question assumes massive data center development. The listed mitigations won

won't fix putting data centers in the wrong places. Reality is buffers don't buffer anything.