

# DCOAG Membership

1) Where did current membership composition come from?

**DIR 22-28 / Wheeler (7/12/2022)**

## **Noise Ordinance Update**

County staff was directed to comprehensively review the noise ordinance and propose appropriate amendments, if any, for the Board to consider. Staff should bring any such proposed amendments to the Board before advertising the public hearing. Staff's review should include, but not be limited to, reviewing the Board's legal authority regarding noise regulation, the noise ordinances in comparable localities, and best practices in noise mitigation and attenuation. **Staff should provide an appropriate opportunity for residents and the business community to provide input and feedback on noise issues.** Staff should bring to the Board for consideration if there is a need for outside consultants.

2) Who is the DCOAG supposed to be serving?

**Mission Statement:** Advise the County with developing Regulatory Ordinance changes that will address data center development impacts, **including noise.**

Addressing the noise issue necessitated convening the DCOAG. Those impacted by data center development are principally residential communities, schools, parks - not businesses. The role of industry representatives should be primarily as technical advisors who can help mitigate impacts.

3) Why is the presence of industry lobbyists objectionable?

Lobbyists are bound by their employers to advocate for their financial interests. Such allegiance is antithetical to the mission of the DCOAG to advocate for those impacted by data center development.

The presence of industry lobbyists undermines trust and sends the wrong signal about who we are serving.



## County Weaknesses

Rank	Theme	# of PPL	# of District FGs	Super-visors	# of Stake-holders	# of Stake-holder FGs
1	<b>Trust &amp; transparency</b> - zero, do not feel heard, disconnected from other districts, politicized, <u>improper influences</u> , divisiveness	42	3 of 7	2	5	2 of 3
2	<b>Overdevelopment</b> - too much, lack of mgmt, short-sighted, <u>data center</u> divisiveness & placement, infrastructure to support all new (electric, water, etc), not enough, not diversified, get people to accept big business	25	6 of 7	2	11	2 of 3
3	<b>Transportation</b> - traffic, commuting, not enough roads and infrastructure, limited public, no Metro, car centric, bike or walking connectivity	21	6 of 7	4	6	3 of 3
4	<b>Safety &amp; enforcement</b> - capacity, reckless driving, processing felonies, panhandling, approachable police, increasing crime, emergency preparation, litter, pedestrian deaths	20	5 of 7	1	0	0 of 0
5	<b>Proactive planning</b> - reactive, geographically too large to manage, limited planning of mixed use areas, smart growth, comprehensive plan with metrics, impacts of surrounding counties, more foresight	18	3 of 7	3	10	2 of 3
6	<b>Taxation</b> - increasing property taxes, retention, seniors, military, lack of commercial tax base, tax breaks for developer so citizens pay	15	5 of 7	1	0	0 of 0
7	<b>County services &amp; communication</b> - permitting process, awareness of services, accessing vulnerable pops, resolving issues timely, social services, cultural activities, community engagement	12	5 of 7	2	12	1 of 1
8	<b>Developer accountability</b> - pay for play, attempt to step over restrictions, <u>too much influence on staff and Board</u> , more employment options in county	10	2 of 7	1	1	0 of 0
9	<b>Branding &amp; identity</b> - reputation, image	9	2 of 7	3	10	3 of 3
10	<b>Affordability &amp; diversity of housing</b> - income levels, staff ability	9	3 of 7	3	20	3 of 3